

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/208,105	11/25/1998	KAZUHISA SAKAMOTO	10233.81USW1	7685
7.	590 02/22/2002			
CURTIS B. HAMRE			EXAMINER	
MERCHANT of P.O. BOX 2903	3		NADAV, ORI	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Annli	action No	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summan		cation No.	Applicant(s)				
		8,105 		SAKAMOTO, KAZUHISA			
Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit				
	ori na		2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 October</u>	<u>2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL.	.2b)⊠. This actio	n is non-final.	The second of the second secon	and the same of the			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected t	0.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	on requiremen	nt.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the price	ority documents have	been receive	d.				
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have	been receive	d in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for domestic priori	ty under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisi	onal application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	•		erview Summary (PTO-413) Pape tice of Informal Patent Application er:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Sur		D-	art of Paper No. 21			

Application/Control Number: 09/208,105

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi (5,981,981) in view of Akihiko et al. (JP 7-297414).

Takahashi teaches in figure 30 an IGBT semiconductor device comprising a substrate 1-3 having a region 2 irradiated, crystal defects 44 within the region irradiated, a light metal wiring layer 42 comprising aluminum under the substrate and having an opening under the region irradiated so that radiating rays passing to the region irradiated through the opening generate crystal defects only over the opening.

Takahashi does not teach radiating the rays from the top of the substrate.

Akihiko et al. teach in figure 1c a wiring layer 33 over the substrate, and radiating rays to create crystal defects 31 within the region irradiated 32 radiated from the top of the substrate.

it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to radiate the rays from the top of the substrate in Takahashi's

Application/Control Number: 09/208,105

Art Unit: 2811

device in order to simplify the processing steps by forming the device from the top surface of the substrate. Note that it is a matter of convenience whether to radiate the rays from the top surface or the bottom surface of the substrate.

Regarding claim 10, the wiring layer of prior art has a thickness so as to restrict penetration of rays into the region irradiated.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Akihiko et al. teach in figures 1c an insulation layer 12 being formed above the region irradiated, the opening being on the insulating layer, and the metal wiring 33 covers part of the insulating layer.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Takahashi teach a radiated region being a positivenegative junction where a parasitic diode is generated..

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/208,105

Art Unit: 2811

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology center (TC) 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2800 via the TC 2800 Fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4-C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 2811 Fax Center number is (703) 308-7722 and 308-7724. The Group 2811 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Group 2811 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or any earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to *Examiner Nadav* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8138**. The Examiner is in the Office generally between the hours of 7 AM to 3 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the **Technology Center Receptionists** whose telephone number is **308-0956**

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Ori Nadav

February 14, 2002